

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS

California Rules of Court, rule 977(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 977(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 977.

**IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FIFTH APPELLATE DISTRICT**

In re L. M., A Person Coming Under The
Juvenile Court Law.

THE PEOPLE,

Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

L. M.,

Defendant and Appellant.

F045362

(Super. Ct. No. JW090533-04)

OPINION

THE COURT*

APPEAL from a judgment of the Superior Court of Kern County. Jon E. Stuebbe,
Judge.

Thea Greenhalgh, under appointment by the Court of Appeal, for Defendant and
Appellant.

Bill Lockyer, Attorney General, Robert R. Anderson, Chief Assistant Attorney
General, Mary Jo Graves, Assistant Attorney General, and Charles A. French, Deputy
Attorney General, for Plaintiff and Respondent.

-ooOoo-

* Before Vartabedian, Acting P.J., Harris, J., and Cornell, J.

On February 20, 2004, a petition was filed pursuant to Welfare and Institutions Code section 602 against appellant, L.M., alleging that he feloniously committed assault with a deadly weapon likely to cause great bodily injury (Pen. Code, § 245, subd. (a)(1)). On March 29, 2004, the juvenile court found the petition true. On April 14, 2004, the juvenile court found the offense to be a felony and ordered appellant's commitment to the Kern Crossroads Facility.

L.'s appointed appellate counsel has filed an opening brief which summarizes the pertinent facts, raises no issues, and requests this court to independently review the record. (*People v. Wende* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 436.) The opening brief also includes the declaration of appellate counsel indicating that L. was advised he could file his own brief with this court. By letter on August 9, 2004, we invited L. to submit additional briefing. To date, he has not done so.

After independent review of the record, we have concluded no reasonably arguable legal or factual argument exists.

The judgment is affirmed.